From: <u>Greczmiel, Horst</u>

To: "Robert Bonnie"; "patrick.holmes@osec.usda.gov"; "mark.bisgeier@osec.usda.gov";

"Arthur.Blazer@osec.usda.gov"; "Patel-Weynand, Toral -FS"; "Ann Acheson - CEQ"; "Joe Carbone"; "Cal Joyner"; "Jim Upchurch"; "Zepeda, Gilbert -FS"; "Higgins, Jeanne M -FS"; "Daniels, Janine -FS"; Kopocis, Ken; Bromm, Susan; Rader, Cliff; Kaiser, Russell; Blumenfeld, Jared; Goforth, Kathleen; Dunning, Connell; Hanf, Lisa; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Gaudario, Abigail; Diamond, Jane; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Meg.E.Gaffney-Smith@usace.army.mil; "Chip Smith"; "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "Castanon, David J SPL"; "Blaine, Marjorie E SPL"; "willie taylor@ios.doi.gov"; "Edwin Roberson"; "Raymond Suazo"; "Deborah Rawhouser"; "June

Shoemaker"; "Benjamin Tuggle@fws.gov"; "steve spangle@fws.gov"; "jean calhoun@fws.gov";

"larry bright@fws.gov"; "jason douglas@fws.gov"

Subject: RE: Rosemont Friday 3pm Call

Date: Friday, July 18, 2014 7:20:07 AM

Just a reminder for today's call.

Horst Greczmiel

Associate Director for NEPA Oversight Council on Environmental Quality





Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Greczmiel, Horst

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 12:31 PM

To: 'Robert Bonnie'; 'patrick.holmes@osec.usda.gov'; 'mark.bisgeier@osec.usda.gov';

'Arthur.Blazer@osec.usda.gov'; 'Patel-Weynand, Toral -FS'; 'Ann Acheson - CEQ'; 'Joe Carbone'; 'Cal Joyner'; 'Jim Upchurch'; 'Zepeda, Gilbert -FS'; 'Higgins, Jeanne M -FS'; 'Daniels, Janine -FS'; 'Ken

Kopocis'; 'Susan Bromm'; 'Cliff Rader'; 'Kaiser, Russell'; 'Jared Blumenfeld'; 'Kathy Goforth'; 'Dunning,

 $Connell'; \\ 'Hanf, Lisa'; \\ 'Brush. \\ Jason@epa.gov'; \\ 'Martynowicz. \\ Trina@epa.gov'; \\$

'Gaudario.Abigail@epa.gov'; 'Diamond.Jane@epa.gov'; 'brush.jason@epa.gov';

'Martynowicz.Trina@epa.gov'; 'Gaffney-Smith, Margaret E HQ'; 'Chip Smith';

'kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil'; 'Castanon, David J SPL'; 'Blaine, Marjorie E SPL';

'willie_taylor@ios.doi.gov'; 'Edwin Roberson'; 'Raymond Suazo'; 'Deborah Rawhouser'; 'June

 $Shoe maker'; 'Benjamin_Tuggle@fws.gov'; 'steve_spangle@fws.gov'; 'jean_calhoun@fws.gov'; 'gen_calhoun@fws.gov'; 'gen_calhoun@fws.gov'; 'gen_calhoun@fws.gov';$

'larry_bright@fws.gov'; 'jason_douglas@fws.gov'

Subject: Rosemont Friday 3pm Call

Good morning/afternoon,

It's been a while so I 'm sending this reminder that our next 3pm eastern call is scheduled for Friday,

July 18th. Call in line is (b) (6)

If anyone needs to connect before the 18^{th} , please give me a call.

Thank you, Horst

Horst Greczmiel

Associate Director for NEPA Oversight Council on Environmental Quality

(b) (6)



From: <u>Greczmiel, Horst</u>
To: <u>Johnson, Kathleen</u>

Subject: RE: Scheduling Rosemont meeting
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2014 6:40:05 AM

Kathleen,

(b)(5) - deliberative

A follow-up meeting on the 17th

sounds like an excellent plan.

Thanks, Horst

Horst Greczmiel Associate Director for NEPA Oversight Council on Environmental Quality



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Johnson, Kathleen [mailto:Johnson.Kathleen@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 2:51 PM

To: Greczmiel, Horst

Subject: FW: Scheduling Rosemont meeting

Horst,



If that seems too difficult, we are also fine with scheduling the meeting for the week of June 23rd. In that event, Jared would be unable to attend, but either Jane Diamond or I and staff would attend. Thanks for all your help on this project.

Kathleen H. Johnson Director, Enforcement Division U.S. EPA - Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street ENF-1 San Francisco, CA 94015 415/972-3873 johnson.kathleen@epa.gov From: Greczmiel, Horst
To: Johnson, Kathleen
Cc: Blumenfeld, Jared
Subject: Re: Rosemont

Date: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 6:46:21 AM

Thank you Kathleen for providing your contact information and an excellent synopsis - from which I'll borrow heavily in my message later today.

Regards, Horst

From: Johnson, Kathleen [mailto:Johnson.Kathleen@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 06:24 PM **To**: Greczmiel, Horst; Greczmiel, Horst

Cc: Blumenfeld, Jared <BLUMENFELD.JARED@EPA.GOV>

Subject: Rosemont

Horst,

Thanks for the call with Jared and me today. My contact info is below. In addition, my cell phone number is (b) (6)

(b) (5) Deliberative	



Thanks for all your help on this project and please don't hesitate to call if we can be of any assistance.

Kathleen H. Johnson
Director, Enforcement Division
U.S. EPA - Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street ENF-1
San Francisco, CA 94015
415/972-3873
johnson.kathleen@epa.gov

"Kopocis.Ken@epa.go"; "Bromm.Susan@epamail.epa.go"; "rader.cliff@epa.go"; Kaiser, Russell; Blumenfeld, To:

Jared; Goforth, Kathleen; Dunning, Connell; Hanf, Lisa; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Gaudario, Abigail;

Diamond, Jane; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Meg.E.Gaffney-Smith@usace.army.mil;

"Charles.R.Smith567.civ@mail.mil"; "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "David.J.Castanon@usace.army.mil"; "Marjorie.E.Blaine@usace.army.mil"; "willie taylor@ios.doi.gov"; "eroberso@blm.gov"; "rmsuazo@blm.gov"; "drawhous@blm.gov"; "jshoemaker@blm.gov"; "tshannon@blm.gov"; "Benjamin Tuggle@fws.gov";

"steve_spangle@fws.gov"; "jean_calhoun@fws.gov"; "larry_bright@fws.gov"; "jason_douglas@fws.gov"

Subject: Rosemont 1 Aug Call

Date: Friday, July 18, 2014 1:00:38 PM

Greetings,

We have resumed the every-other-Friday calls at 3pm eastern. Our next call is on 1 August and a calendar invitation is also being sent – if you don't receive it, please annotate your calendar for the recurring call.

Call in information: (b) (6)

Thank you for your time and for sharing the information and updates today, Horst

Horst Greczmiel Associate Director for NEPA Oversight Council on Environmental Quality





To:

"robert.bonnie@osec.usda.gov"; "patrick.holmes@osec.usda.gov"; "mark.bisgeier@osec.usda.gov"; "Arthur.Blazer@osec.usda.gov"; "tpatelweynand@fs.fed.us"; "aacheson@fs.fed.us"; "jcarbone@fs.fed.us";

"cjoyner@fs.fed.us"; "jupchurch01@fs.fed.us"; "gzepeda@fs.fed.us"; "jmhiggins@fs.fed.us"; "janinedaniels@fs.fed.us"; Kopocis, Ken; Bromm, Susan; Rader, Cliff; Kaiser, Russell; Blumenfeld, Jared;

Goforth, Kathleen; Dunning, Connell; Hanf, Lisa; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Gaudario, Abigail; Diamond.

Jane; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Meg.E.Gaffney-Smith@usace.army.mil;

"Charles.R.Smith567.civ@mail.mil"; "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "David.J.Castanon@usace.army.mil"; "Marjorie.E.Blaine@usace.army.mil"; "willie taylor@ios.doi.gov"; "eroberso@blm.gov"; "rmsuazo@blm.gov"; "drawhous@blm.gov"; "jshoemaker@blm.gov"; "tshannon@blm.gov"; "Benjamin Tuggle@fws.gov"; "steve_spangle@fws.gov"; "jean_calhoun@fws.gov"; "larry_bright@fws.gov"; "jason_douglas@fws.gov"

Subject: Rosemont Call - 1 Aug

Date: Tuesday, August 05, 2014 3:36:21 AM Attachments: Rosemont Bought by HudBay 25July14.docx

Good morning,

Our 3pm eastern call today is expected to be a brief update. The call-in information remains: 202-

The Corps has informed us that HudBay's requested a submittal date of Aug 14 to provide the

enhanced mitigation plan for the enhanced mitigation is acceptable based on their need to thoroughly review all project documents, etc.

Consequently, we expect a brief call to provide:

Regards, Horst

Tony Davis



tdavis@azstarnet.com

9

Hudbay Looking to Expand Beyond Rosemont in Arizona

Kelly Presnell / Arizona Daily Star

Hudbay Minerals Inc. president and chief executive officer David Garofalo



Hudbay Minerals Inc. president and chief executive officer David Garofalo (3) More Photos

The company that just took over the long-delayed Rosemont Mine project hopes to develop more mines in Arizona and possibly near Tucson, its officials say.

But Hudbay Minerals Inc. officials aren't ready to say how they'll deal with issues blocking final permitting of Rosemont —seven years after Augusta first issued a mining plan of operations.

Toronto-based Hudbay wrapped up a takeover of Vancouver, B.C.-based Augusta Resource Corp., parent company of Rosemont Copper, about a week ago.

Hudbay plans to create an Arizona operating unit not just to get Rosemont permitted and built, but to look for other potential mine sites, company officials said this week.

But in a a question and answer session with the Arizona Daily Star, Hudbay's top executives said they haven't been closely involved with the Rosemont project long enough to know how they'll deal with controversies dogging the proposed open-pit mine.

They also aren't ready to give a timeline for getting permits that would allow construction to start at the Rosemont site in the Santa Rita Mountains southeast of Tucson. The mine needs a final "record of decision" from the U.S. Forest Service, a Clean Water Act permit from the Army Corps of Engineers and certification by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality that it's unlikely to pollute surface water.

The company has run mines in Canada for 85 years, including three currently operating in Manitoba, and plans to open an open pit copper mine later this year in Peru.

Three top Hudbay officials sat down with the Star on Wednesday: **David Garofalo**, president and CEO; **Patrick Merrin**, vice president for business development and technical services; and **David Clarry**, vice president for corporate social responsibility. They were interviewed by Star editor **Bobbie Jo Buel**, editorial page editor **Maria Parham**, editorial writer **Sarah Garrecht Gassen** and environmental reporter **Tony Davis**. The interview transcript has been edited for length and clarity.

Q. What made Rosemont a good target for you?

Garofalo: Geography was probably our first criteria. We want to invest in an area with an established regulatory framework for permitting projects, one that we can understand, one that does issue permits with mitigations in place. We also looked at geology. One of our core competencies is geophysics. We have a geophysics team in Manitoba, led by a guy who has been here 40 years. We try to leverage off that expertise in those types of geological settings. We're not all over the world, with every type of geology. Rosemont fits very well . . . Rosemont is probably the most shovel ready of a half-dozen opportunities that we have.

There is not a long list of opportunities for greenfield (mine sites with nothing on them to demolish) copper projects in the world. That's why copper prices have been so buyoyant for a number of years and there's a supply challenge. The demand increases each year, as the Chinese urbanize, with more cars, home construction and transmission lines. (Copper) consumption in China per capita is only half of that of the industrialized world. Also India, with its unshackled economy. Also Brazil, and Africa, where many parts are still underdeveloped.

Q. But copper prices have been stagnant or dropping for some time now.

Garofalo: I've been in the business for 25 years. For the first 15 of my 25 years, copper prices were 60 cents a pound. Having copper prices at these (current) levels has been fantastic. They have flatlined the last year or two.

Some new projects are coming on line this year and next. We're only starting to see a supply side response to an increase in prices in the last six or eight years. It takes a long time to bring new production onstream, typically from discovery to production, at least a decade. Rosemont is not unusual in that regard.

We're just now seeing a supply increase in response to increased copper prices. Beyond this year and next, there's nothing else coming on. While we may be in short term surplus this year and next, we're looking at chronic deficits to come back in 2016.

Q. When do you expect the Rosemont Mine to get permitted?

Merrin: It's very difficult to predict from here to the end. We do want to tap them fast, but we want to respect the process.

Q. Do you have a strategy different than Augusta's to try to get shovels moving?

Garofalo: There's nothing we can do on the process side that's any different from what Rosemont did. They did an excellent job of taking the project to this stage. The only thing we would have done differently . . . We would done a little more careful job of managing expectations of shareholders. Augusta constantly put out expectations they couldn't achieve. I'm not criticizing them. I understand that dynamic.

Q. You have said you want to try to look for mining opportunities in this region and in Arizona, and that you hope to find sites within spitting distance of Rosemont, to be able to extend the mine's life. Any details?

Garofalo: That was a comment I made in the abstract. I think it's been defined as having life north of 20 years in the (Rosemont Mine) feasibility study. Tacking on another 10 years, talking academically, doesn't do anything for the value of it.

We have two concentrators in Manitoba and we're building a third. Our business model was over a very large land position and we continually drill along a large geological trend. To reuse that infrastructure over and over again tends to generate a much stronger return than starting from scratch. We're doing the same in Peru. When I say, 'within spitting distance', that means within the area of interest. It doesn't have to be near Rosemont. It can be somewhere else in the southwestern U.S.

Merrin: As you progress through the mine life, you get a much better understanding of what the ore body can become. You look 20 years out, it's very difficult for us to predict what we'll be able to do. We're learning a lot more about the (Rosemont) project itself. We have a lot more information to look at now, things like how strong is the rock which allows you to determine the slopes of pit and tells you how deep you can go. We don't

understand that. What we're permitting is what we understand now. That's what we want to move forward with. Anything in the future is speculation.

Q. You've talked about hoping to extend the life of the mine. What about those three parcels on which you have mining claims north and west of Rosemont — Broadtop Butte, Peach Elgin and Copper World? Are you going to drill and pursue those?

Merrin: We're very focused on building the mine in the purview of the permit. We're not interested in changing that. We look at those satellite deposits, we just don't know, the honest answer is that we don't know if they could become economic. We don't know if they'll ever get permitted. It's premature to know when we have so little information.

Q. How do you plan or hope to clear the permitting hurdles?

Garofalo: We're delivering mitigation plans to the Corps and Forest Service. That is in active consideration right now. (The officials declined to give more specifics because they just took over Augusta.)

Is there anything we'll be doing differently? Not really. The process is well baked, really under way. It's just ongoing dialogue. That's honestly where we are at this stage. That has to continue.

Clarry: What we want to do is take the permitting discussion out of the public spotlight and let the regulators do their job. We don't to get into a public dissecting of how the permitting is going, unless the regulators want to do that.

Q. Will the change in ownership slow down the process?

Garofalo: We're not proposing to slow it down in any way. We can accelerate engineering, which Augusta didn't have the capital to pursue. The engineering has been suspended since 2011, which means to put it bluntly, if Augusta had gotten their permits today, they weren't in a position to start construction.

Q. How long will the engineering take you?

Garofalo: Some of that will depend on permitting. We need to get onto the site. It really depends. There's some we can do in advance of the final record of decision in terms of engineering. There's a lot we can't do in advance of the record of decision.

Q: Is it like two years?

Merrin: We're trying to understand what's there now. We had a wide range of technical experts sitting with Rosemont. We know what's required from us to go to the board, from a production standpoint. We know what it has to look like from an engineering perespective. We have a much better idea of how much and how long it will take. It will

take \$60 million to \$80 million to get from where we are now to to the point to where we can make a construction decision (to start construction). I don't mean to be evasive. We have to do a whole bunch of drilling on the site to understand the deposit better. That will require a record of decision.

Q. All the mitigation plans and other promises made by Rosemont Copper — will you keep them?

A. Any contractual commitments they made, we'll honor.

Q: What about the CAP water pipeline, to recharge CAP water near Green Valley?

Garofalo: We don't know. We're getting on the ground. We've been here four days. We need to get in and understand them.

Merrin: I believe we see the CAP thing as contractual. In the final environmental impact statement, anything in there (for mitigation), is commitments.

Q. You say you have all these experts out there. Will that change the dynamic in how many local people will be hired? Will you use local people for construction?

Garofalo: It's in our economic interest to source locally as much as possible. . . In Peru, 95 percent of our work force is Peruvian. One thing I noticed in the last two days is how deep the supply network is here for mining. The mining school is excellent. There is a deep pool of talent to draw upon. We're not going to parachute thousands of Canadians here. Putting people here on expatriate contracts is prohibitively expensive.

Q. How will you handle operations in Arizona? Will you keep the Rosemont Copper executives, or bring in your own?

Merrin: This is an Arizona business unit. Our job here is to grow local businesses, not just to build one mine but to grow in Arizona, the southern U.S. and Mexico. All the (Augusta) accounting functions being done in Vancouver and Denver are being moved here as quickly as we can get it. Technical expertise should reside within the area. We will have a specialist in evaluating mineral properties. . . Maybe not just a stone's throw from where we are, but if we can find great properties, we'll be trying to move that along.

Garofalo: (Augusta CEO) **Gil Clausen** and (Augusta and Rosemont Copper Senior Vice President) **Jamie Sturgess** are gone. **Rod Pace** will be in transition. Here's choosing to leave but will work for awhile under a consulting arrangement. Pat (Merrin) will be running the business unit. Pat is going through the organization, interviewing people right now. We will be adding employees.

Q. As you go through the agreements that have been made, how will the public know? How will we know?

Garofalo: It's typical of any permitting process, whether here, Manitoba or Peru. We've built mines in parks before. We just opened one in a provincial park in Manitoba. We have complete transparency. It's not like we're going to be in a cloak of secrecy here. We're not out there to raise money. We don't need to breathlessly tell the market that permits are coming in two months, which Augusta did 12 times in two years. That's wrong. I understand that dynamic, but that dynamic doesn't exist for us.

Merrin: For Augusta's ability to continue from a financial perspective, they needed to generate news. We don't anticipate generating press releases on small advancements. We will allow the process to move ahead. For us, news is when we get (the permits), not milestones along the way.

Q. Pima County Administrator Chuck Huckelberry and Rod Pace have been corresponding over a possible mitigation agreement, in part for the Army Corps permit. Pace's letter outlining Rosemont Copper's offer was written June 20, three days before the Hudbay-Augusta takeover agreement was announced. So did Hudbay have anything to do with it? Does Hudbay support it?

Garofalo: We wouldn't have any influence over correspondence like that. It's a bit premature for us to have anything on that.

(Later, Merrin issued a statement saying that "We are studying the impact of these communications and how to best craft final mitigation planning for the Rosemont project.")

Q. Rosemont Copper was always very careful to say it hadn't made a decision on how to get ore from the property. Do you know the answer?

Merrin: We don't know. We just started looking at it. Our main engineering meetings started today. It's going to be going on a number of months.

Q. It's been a big questions: What roads? Will you go north, south, west? Will you put ore on the railroad? Where will you put it on the railroad? It has local impacts.

Merrin: It's something we have to look at holistically. It's important to say to stakeholders that once we get permits, that does not mean we stop looking at our phones. Our provincial park mine in Manitoba has unique characteristics. It's done that way because people in the park asked us to build it that way. That kind of dialogue is important in the early phases of engineering.

Q. There's been a lot of news lately about the Colorado River, and how Lake Mead is dropping and that there may not be enough water there to satisfy all our Central Arizona Project uses in a few years or longer. If that happens, how will that affect your ability to compensate for your mine's groundwater pumping, for which you plan to bring down CAP water in the pipeline?

Merrin: I don't think we're in a position to comment right now on it.

Garofalo: Water management is an issue wherever we are, in southern Peru, in Manitoba. Sometimes dealing with excess water, sometimes dealing with a lack of water. We still have solutions each way.

Q. Where will your operations be located — in Tucson, Sahuarita or Green Valley?

Merrin: That's a great question. The offices we have now (on Ruthrauff Road on the northwest side) are not adequate once we get up and going. Every mine construction is a little different . . . Being close to the mine is going to be important.

Q. What reclamation do you do when you close mines? A big concern here is what happens when you close the mine.

Merrin: I understand that there's been \$1 million spent with the University of Arizona on the best way to mitigate the site. It's different in every site. We will continue that work. One of the first decisions I made was to continue that work. The mine design that is here is a world class mine, a modern mine. It has features to it that have leading edge technology that will allow us to reclaim early. It's important to do this right. I think mitigation plans will continue to be developed. It is a little early for us to truly understand what we will do. One thing we like about this project is that the design is world class from an environmental perspective. In a couple of areas, the technology is new.

Q. Such as?

Garofalo: The dry stack tailings is the largest. I did it in a water challenged environment, in Mexico with a gold company I was with before Hudbay.

Pat (Merrin) also visited a large dry stack tailings facility at an iron ore mine in Australia, about half the size of what Rosemont will ultimately be. It was a very similar filter press system . . . It works extremely well.

Q. What do you mean that it's working?

Garofalo: It's functioning as designed. It's producing a dry tail, which you can stack, as opposed to putting them in a tailings pond. You get immediate recovery of water. You can reclaim it.

Q. Does Hudbay intend to build the copper export facility in Topolobampo, Sinaloa, that Augusta was planning?

Garofalo: We're getting briefed on it next week. We have very little insight on that.

To:

"robert.bonnie@osec.usda.gov"; "patrick.holmes@osec.usda.gov"; "mark.bisgeier@osec.usda.gov"; "Arthur.Blazer@osec.usda.gov"; "tpatelweynand@fs.fed.us"; "aacheson@fs.fed.us"; "jcarbone@fs.fed.us";

"cjoyner@fs.fed.us"; "jupchurch01@fs.fed.us"; "gzepeda@fs.fed.us"; "jmhiggins@fs.fed.us"; "janinedaniels@fs.fed.us"; Kopocis, Ken; Bromm, Susan; Rader, Cliff; Kaiser, Russell; Blumenfeld, Jared;

Goforth, Kathleen; Dunning, Connell; Hanf, Lisa; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Gaudario, Abigail; Diamond.

Jane; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Meg.E.Gaffney-Smith@usace.army.mil; "Charles.R.Smith567.civ@mail.mil"; "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "David.J.Castanon@usace.army.mil"; "Marjorie.E.Blaine@usace.army.mil"; "willie taylor@ios.doi.gov"; "eroberso@blm.gov"; "rmsuazo@blm.gov"; "drawhous@blm.gov"; "jshoemaker@blm.gov"; "tshannon@blm.gov"; "Benjamin Tuggle@fws.gov"; "steve_spangle@fws.gov"; "jean_calhoun@fws.gov"; "larry_bright@fws.gov"; "jason_douglas@fws.gov"

Rosemont Call - 15 August at 3pm eastern: (b) (6)

Date: Thursday, August 14, 2014 3:40:34 PM

Greetings,

Subject:

Tomorrow's call will be focused on brief updates. In light of the time before we hear from the applicant and the number of folks on leave, the following call will be on September 12th.

Thank you, Horst

Horst Greczmiel Associate Director for NEPA Oversight Council on Environmental Quality





To:

"Robert Bonnie"; "patrick.holmes@osec.usda.gov"; "mark.bisgeier@osec.usda.gov"; "Arthur.Blazer@osec.usda.gov"; "Patel-Weynand, Toral -FS"; "Ann Acheson - CEO"; "Joe Carbone"; "Cal Joyner"; "Jim Upchurch"; "Zepeda, Gilbert -FS"; "Higgins, Jeanne M -FS"; "Daniels, Janine -FS"; Kopocis, Ken; Bromm, Susan; Rader, Cliff; Kaiser, Russell; Blumenfeld, Jared; Goforth, Kathleen; Dunning, Connell; Hanf, Lisa; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Gaudario, Abigail; Diamond, Jane; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Meg.E.Gaffney-Smith@usace.army.mil; "Chip Smith"; "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "Castanon, David J SPL"; "Blaine, Marjorie E SPL"; "willie taylor@ios.doi.gov"; "Edwin Roberson"; "Raymond Suazo"; "Deborah Rawhouser"; "June Shoemaker"; "Benjamin Tuggle@fws.gov"; "steve spangle@fws.gov"; "jean calhoun@fws.gov";

"larry bright@fws.gov"; "jason douglas@fws.gov"

Subject: Rosemont Call - next call is July 11 Date: Friday, June 27, 2014 8:24:29 AM

Just a reminder that we changed over to having calls every other week; and since next week is a holiday, our next call will be on 11 July.

Have a safe 4th!

Horst Greczmiel Associate Director for NEPA Oversight Council on Environmental Quality





To:

"Robert Bonnie"; "patrick.holmes@osec.usda.gov"; "mark.bisgeier@osec.usda.gov"; "Arthur.Blazer@osec.usda.gov"; "Patel-Weynand, Toral -FS"; "Ann Acheson - CEQ"; "Joe Carbone"; "Cal Joyner"; "Jim Upchurch"; "Zepeda, Gilbert -FS"; "Higgins, Jeanne M -FS"; "Daniels, Janine -FS"; Kopocis, Ken; Bromm. Susan; Rader, Cliff; Kaiser, Russell; Blumenfeld, Jared; Goforth, Kathleen; Dunning, Connell; Hanf, Lisa; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Gaudario, Abigali; Diamond, Jane; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Meg.E. Gaffney-Smith@usace.army.mil; "Chip Smith"; "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "Castanon, David J SPL"; "Blaine.

Marjorie E SPL"; "willie taylor@ios.doi.gov"; "Edwin Roberson"; "Raymond Suazo"; "Deborah Rawhouser"; "June Shoemaker"; "Benjamin Tuggle@fws.gov"; "steve spangle@fws.gov"; "jean calhoun@fws.gov";

"larry bright@fws.gov"; "jason douglas@fws.gov"

Subject: Rosemont Friday 3pm Call

Date: Thursday, July 10, 2014 9:31:06 AM

Good morning/afternoon,

It's been a while so I 'm sending this reminder that our next 3pm eastern call is scheduled for Friday,

July 18th. Call in line is (b) (6)

If anyone needs to connect before the 18th, please give me a call. Thank you, Horst

Horst Greczmiel Associate Director for NEPA Oversight Council on Environmental Quality



From: <u>Greczmiel, Horst</u>

To: "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "cjoyner@fs.fed.us"; Kopocis, Ken; Blumenfeld, Jared

Subject: Rosemont update

Date: Thursday, June 05, 2014 6:22:26 AM

Good morning,

(b)(5) - deliberative

If you have concerns with that approach, please call me at your earlies (b) (6)

Thank you, Horst

"Robert Bonnie"; "patrick.holmes@osec.usda.gov"; "mark.bisgeier@osec.usda.gov"; To:


"Arthur.Blazer@osec.usda.gov"; "Patel-Weynand, Toral -FS"; "Ann Acheson - CEQ"; "Joe Carbone"; "Cal Joyner"; "Jim Upchurch"; "Zepeda, Gilbert -FS"; "Higgins, Jeanne M -FS"; "Daniels, Janine -FS"; Kopocis, Ken; Bromm. Susan; Rader, Cliff; Kaiser, Russell; Blumenfeld, Jared; Goforth, Kathleen; Dunning, Connell; Hanf, Lisa; Brush. Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Gaudario, Abigail; Diamond, Jane; Brush, Jason; Martynowicz, Trina; Meg.E.Gaffney-Smith@usace.army.mil; "Chip Smith"; "kimberly.m.colloton@usace.army.mil"; "Castanon, David J SPL"; "Blaine," Marjorie E SPL"; "willie taylor@ios.doi.gov"; "Edwin Roberson"; "Raymond Suazo"; "Deborah Rawhouser"; "June Shoemaker"; "Benjamin Tuggle@fws.gov"; "steve spangle@fws.gov"; "jean calhoun@fws.gov";

"larry bright@fws.gov"; "jason douglas@fws.gov"

Subject: Rosemont: 6 Jun 3pm eastern call Date: Thursday, June 05, 2014 1:21:29 PM

Good afternoon,

In reviewing the input for an interagency meeting with Augusta/RM I quickly realized that we (as much as RM) need a "timeline" with steps – not duration – necessary when an enhanced mitigation proposal is submitted. In short, the following points of agency review of an enhanced mitigation package were identified:



For our call this Friday at 3pm eastern:

- a. Are there other questions that should be added to this list?
- b. Are the right participants identified below for a meeting with Augusta/RM to outline the implications of the enhanced mitigation proposal?

that final Federal determinations and decisions will be made?

Interagency Meeting Participants:

a. USFS:

Jim Upchurch, Coronado Forest

b. BLM:

Tim Shannon, BLM Gila District Manager

Karen Simms, Assistant Field Manager, Tucson

c. EPA:

Jason Brush, Supervisor, Wetlands Office, EPA Region 9

d. USACE:

Marjorie Blaine, Senior Regulatory Program Manager

e. CEQ?

Below are initial talking points:



Thank you, Horst

Horst Greczmiel
Associate Director for NEPA Oversight
Council on Environmental Quality



Please